Ichthyol. Explor. Freshwaters, Vol. 30, No. 3, pp. 213–220, 7 figs., 1 tab., January 2021 © 2021 by Verlag Dr. Friedrich Pfeil, München, Germany – ISSN 0936-9902 LSID: http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:pub:842A520A-95CD-4499-BFF8-11378FD5B3D7 DOI: http://doi.org/10.23788/IEF-1150 Published 21 August 2020

## *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus,* a rare eye-less sole from Borneo (Teleostei: Soleidae)

## Tan Heok Hui\*,\*\* and Jongkar Grinang\*\*

An example of the eye-less sole, *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus*, was collected from a tidal section of the Sadong River in Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo, in January 2018. It is apparently the second known record of this species since its description in 1882. A description based on selected meristic and morphometric data gathered from the specimen, supported by radiographs and photographs of it, as well as notes on its capture and habitat, are presented.

## Introduction

The poorly-known eye-less soles of the genus *Tuphlachirus* were recently revised by Evseenko & Bolshakov (2018). Three species are presently recognized: Synaptura lipophthalma Károli (1882: 176) described from Sarawak, Borneo; Typhlachirus caecus Hardenberg (1931b: 415) described from the Bagan Si Api Api at the mouth of Rokan River, Sumatra; and Typhlachirus elongatus Pellegrin & Chevey (1940: 155), described from the Mekong delta in Vietnam. The type species is Typhlachirus caecus Hardenberg (1931b: 415); but it first appeared as Cryptops coeca (new genus and species) in Hardenberg (1931a: 125). Believing that Cryptops was pre-occupied, Hardenberg (1931b) proposed a new genus-name Typhlachirus, and changed the combination to Typhlachirus caecus. However, a replacement was not necessary because both Cryptops Hardenberg 1931a and *Cryptops coeca* Hardenberg 1931a are nomina nuda, and therefore unavailable names. Thereafter, the genus-name *Typhlachirus* Hardenberg 1931b and the species-name *Typhlachirus caecus* are not replacement names.

Synaptura lipophthalma was placed in the genus Typhlachirus by Chabanaud (1948) who illustrated it with lithographs and anatomical and osteological drawings, and regarded both *T. caecus* and *T. elongatus* as its junior synonyms. Subsequently, this name seemed to have been overlooked. In a compilation of fishes recorded from the South China Sea, which includes the entire coast of Sarawak, Munroe (2000: 645–646) recognized *T. elongatus* as a species of *Brachirus*, but did not list the occurrence of *T. lipophthalmus*. Later, Kottelat (2013: 464–465) listed only *T. caecus*, and also regarded *T. elongatus* as a species of *Brachirus*. Most likely, he had recognized *T. caecus* as distinct from *T. lipophthalmus*, but did

<sup>\*</sup> Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum, National University of Singapore, 2 Conservatory Drive, Singapore 117377. E-mail: heokhui@nus.edu.sg (corresponding author)

<sup>\*\*</sup> Institute of Biodiversity and Environmental Conservation, Universiti Malaysia Sarawak, 94300 Kota Samarahan, Sarawak, Malaysia. E-mail: gjongkar@unimas.my



**Fig. 1.** Live coloration of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* (ca. 15 cm total length) from Sungai Kuap (Sarawak River basin), showing both right (pigmented) and left side of the fish [copyright Chien Lee, first published in Atack (2006)].

not mention the latter possibly because the scope of his checklist was limited to taxa recorded from inland waters. *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* was, after all, described from a specimen collected 'in mari' [= in the sea] (Károli, 1882: 176).

It is in the latest revision of *Typhlachirus* by Evseenko & Bolshakov (2018) that *T. lipophthalmus* was again mentioned. These authors also reported two smaller specimens of *T. lipophthalmus* apparently from the same series (however deprived of type status) of the 10 cm specimen designated holotype by Károli (1882: 177). Apart from those, no specimen of *T. lipophthalmus* has been reported for the subsequent 124 years.

In her book on the fishes of the Kuching River in Sarawak, Atack (2006: 174–175) used two colour photographs taken by Chien Lee of a live fish (reproduced herein as Figure 1), obtained from estuarine waters of the Sungai Kuap (Sarawak River basin), to illustrate a juvenile specimen of 'Soleidae sp. 1'. However, the length, listed as 15 cm, does not seem to qualify it as a juvenile sole. There is suspicion that the size of this specimen was not accurately stated. Unfortunately, the



Fig. 2. Fresh coloration of Typhlachirus lipophthalmus (right side), ZRC 59653. 61.2 mm SL, shortly after capture.



Fig. 3. Right (top) and left (bottom) side views of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus*, ZRC 59653, 61.2 mm SL (note right side of body bears abrasions from capture).

specimen was not preserved or retained (Atack, pers. comm.).

In January 2018, the authors obtained a fresh specimen of an eye-less sole from fishermen along the tidal reaches of the Sadong River in Sarawak. It was identified in Tan & Grinang (2018: 4) as *Typhlachirus caecus*.

From morphological and meristic characters that can be viewed from the pictures in Atack (2006: 175) and the more recently preserved specimen from the Sadong River, we now identify both as *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus*. Our diagnosis follows the recent revision of the genus *Typhlachirus* by Evseenko & Bolshakov (2018), and is based on characters on the specimens, i. e. absence of eyes, collection localities, as well as fin-ray counts and vertebral counts which are within the range documented for *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* by Károli (1882) and Chabanaud (1948) [see Table 1].

The recent specimen of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* from the Sadong River has been preserved and deposited in the Zoological Reference Collection of the Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum, at the National University of Singapore (ZRC). A description based on selected meristic and morphometric data gathered from it, supported by radiographs and photographs taken of it, as well as notes on its capture and habitat, are presented in this paper.

## Material and methods

Counts and methods generally follow those of Hubbs & Lagler (1958), except as indicated. Measurements to the nearest 0.1 mm were conducted point-to-point with a pair of dial callipers. Abbreviations used: SL, standard length (measured from tip of upper jaw or snout to base of caudal fin); TL, total length (measured from tip of upper jaw or snout to extremity tip of caudal fin). Vertebral and fin ray counts were obtained from digital radiographs using Faxitron LX60.

## Results

## *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* (Károli, 1882) Figs. 1-6

- Synaptura lipophthalma Károli, 1882: 176 (Borneo, "Larawak" = Sarawak, 'in mari' = [in the sea]).
- *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* Chabanaud, 1948 (in part): 4, Figs. 1–10 (various anatomical and osteological drawings), Plate 1, Figs. 1–2 (radiographs); Evseenko & Bolshakov, 2018: 691, Table 1.
- Soleidae sp. 1 Atack, 2006: 174–175 (Sarawak: Sarawak River basin, estuarine waters of Sungai Kuap; with colour figures of a live specimen).
- Typhlachirus caecus (not Hardenberg): Tan & Grinang, 2018: 4 (Sarawak: Sungai Sadong).

**Material examined.** ZRC 59653, 1, 61.2 mm SL; Sarawak: Sadong River basin: Sungai Sadong; local fishers, 31 Jan 2018.

**Description.** See Figures 1–5 for overall body shape; and Table 1 for selected features. A small-sized sole (largest at least 140 mm SL; implied from Atack, 2006) with no external eyes visible on right side. Overall body tear-drop shaped, with relatively long (head length 25.5 % SL) and, rounded head, head width 127.6 % HL with deepest part of body (42.6 % SL; body depth at anus

**Table 1.** Selected character states of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* based on Károli (1882), Chabanaud (1948), Atack (2006), Evseenko & Bolshakov (2018) and present study (\* sum of dorsal-, caudal- and anal-fin ray counts in parentheses; numbers in square parentheses represent values from the original description; NA: not available).

Sources	SL (mm)	Eye	Pectoral fin	Pelvic fin rays (left)	Pelvic fin rays (right)	Total fin ray count of single fins*	Total vertebrae count
Károli, 1882	93 [100]	absent	present	3	3	109 [108]	36
						(56+12+41)	
Chabanaud, 1948	47	absent	present	3	4	106 (55+11+40)	35
Evseenko & Bolshakov, 2018	41	absent	present	4	3	107 (56+12+39)	35
Atack, 2006	150 (TL)	absent	absent	NA	NA	111 (56+12+43)	NA
Present study	61.2	absent	absent	3	3	105 (54+12+39)	34



Fig. 4. Radiograph (positive) of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus*, ZRC 59653, 61.2 mm SL (note total absence of pectoral fin and orbital processes).



**Fig. 5.** A colour inversion rendition (using Photoshop software) of the right side habitus of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* (ZRC 59653), showing a broken lateral line system: consisting of a reversed "C" shaped lateral line pattern on head above cephalic region, and a straight lateral line system commencing just behind occipital region to distal tip of caudal fin.

36.8 % SL) located about at point about equal to  $\frac{1}{4}$  SL, body gently tapering to caudal peduncle (caudal peduncle depth 6.2 % SL). Anus situated in anterior quarter of body, displaced to left.

Absence of pectoral fin on right side of body. All unpaired fins confluent, with caudal fin pointed. Dorsal-fin rays 54, anal-fin rays 39, caudal-fin rays 12 (total of dorsal, anal and caudal fin rays = 105), pelvic-fin rays 3 (right) and 3 (left). Snout region and oral area covered with papillae-like margins. Mouth in a downturned arch on right side; downturned arch with cavity near mouth corner on left side; upper and lower jaws with fringed margins, no teeth on jaws on right side, teeth present only on left side. Gill opening about 50% from dorsal margin of opercle towards ventral part of head on both right and left sides, opercles not joined to isthmus. No gill rakers on gill arches. Vertebral column with 9 trunk + 25 caudal vertebrae (total vertebrae 34; Fig. 4).

Scales (Figs. 2–3). Body entirely covered with ctenoid scales on both sides. Dorsal portion of anterior half of right side of body with more distinct and largest scales, all ctenoid scales with elongate ctenii on their distal margins, scales gradually decreasing in size (down to half) at caudal peduncle (as depicted by Chabanaud, 1948: figs. 6–10). Left side of body covered evenly with ctenoid scales of uniform size, corresponding in size to smallest scales on right side.



**Fig. 6.** Line drawing of pore pattern on head region of left side of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* (traced from photograph provided by Chien Lee; fin rays, papillae around mouth and snout omitted).

Lateral line pierces 100 pored scales on right side of body, about 99 pored scales on left side of body. 46 scales across widest point of body on right side, 15 scales across caudal peduncle on right side. Dorsal margin of opercular opening starts at ninth pored lateral scale on right side.

Lateral line system (Fig. 5). Right side of body shows interrupted lateral line system with anterior portion consisting of reversed "C" shaped lateral line pattern on head above cephalic region, and posterior portion consisting of almost straight lateral line system commencing just behind occipital region and running posteriorly through sixth caudal-fin ray to distal tip of middle caudal-fin rays.

Cephalic sensory canal system (Fig. 6). On the blind side (left side of fish), head regions with following canals present: supraorbital canal, cephalodorsal canal, dorsal canal, mediolateral canal, preopercular canal, mandibular opercular canal and temporal commissures.

**Coloration** (live or freshly dead, Figs. 1–2). Right side of body mainly light pink to pink, with margin (spiny portion) of each scale black. Left side of body uniformly light pink. No black edged scales visible. All fins pinkish with purplish interradial membranes. Live coloration depicted in Atack (2006) reproduced in Figure 1. Coloration (preserved, Fig. 3). Body light pink to white on both sides, each scale margin on right side edged with black, more obvious on scales from dorsal area. All fins hyaline, except proximal regions with blackish interradial membranes.

Notes on habitat. The original material examined by Károli (1882) was obtained from the sea, and here assumed to be coastal waters. Sungai Sadong, the source of the recent specimen, was observed to have turbid brackish waters that appear opaque and muddy, and became very fast flowing during tidal changes. Typhlachirus lipophthalmus occupies a benthic niche on soft muddy-silt substrata. This fish was a bycatch of the main fishery of the giant river shrimp (Macrobrachium rosenbergii) that is known to the local people as Udang Gala. Local fishers use a method called Belat, which consist of a length of netting (length around 15 m, mesh about 4-5 cm wide) held in place by long wooden stakes laid around a shallow dip in the river bank during low tide, and left for one tidal cycle before retrieval. Syntopic fish species obtained are detailed in Tan & Grinang (2018: 2-4).

**Distribution.** *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* is presently known only from Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo, having been recorded from the lower reaches of Sungai Sadong of the Sadong River basin (Tan & Grinang, 2018 and present study) and from Sungai Kuap of the Sarawak River basin (Atack, 2006). The range of *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* is expected to be wider, pending further surveys of estuarine habitats in Borneo. See Figure 7 for the distribution of all three species of *Typhlachirus*.

**Remarks.** It should be noted that not all data collected from this one specimen is consistent with published information. For example, Károli (1882: 177) mentioned that the holotype has a right-side pectoral fin with five rays; and a combined count of 108 dorsal-, anal- and caudal-fin rays (109 fin rays provided by Evseenko & Bolshakov, 2018). The specimen we examined does not have a pectoral fin and the combined count of dorsal-, anal- and caudal-fin rays is 105 (see Table 1). The absence of a pectoral fin can be an aberration, however, this cannot be confirmed, since only one specimen is available.

Small- to medium-sized fish species that occur only in large riverine habitats in Southeast Asia are considered rare and poorly represented in museum collections. This can be partly explained by infrequent collections made in such habitats due to inaccessibility, insufficient logistic support and presence of hazardous elements, e.g. deep waters with strong currents and the presence of crocodiles.

The butid, *Pogoneleotris heterolepis* is another rare fish that is syntopic with *Typhlachirus lipophthalmus* in the rivers of Sarawak. Several specimens recently obtained have been documented by Tan & Grinang (2018) and redescribed by Larson & Tan (2019).

More efforts should be made to collect safely in riverine habitats. This is possible by linking up with local fishing communities. Important and useful information can be gathered from interviews and inspection of their fish catches. The collection and deposition of properly preserved voucher specimens in recognized and established institutions will provide historical data that will be instrumental for future research.



**Fig. 7.** Map of Southeast Asia showing distribution of *Typhlachirus caecus* ( $\blacksquare$ ), *T. elongatus* ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and *T. lipoph-thalmus* ( $\blacklozenge$ ). White symbols are type localities; each symbol may present more than one location. Non-type locality of *T. caecus* from Abidin & Bontoro (2014).

### Acknowledgements

We thank Ralf Britz, for providing the inspiration and impetus to write up this paper on a most unusual fish; Peter Ng, Indraneil Das and Lee Nyanti, for their support and encouragements; Kelvin Lim, for resources and generous help with re-organising the text; Chien Lee, for kind permission to use his images of Typhlachirus lipophthalmus; Paul Ng, for field assistance; three anonymous reviewers, for improving the manuscript; Mandau ak Jepun and Timbang ak Gamang of Kampung Tabuan at Simunjan, for their hospitality and kind assistance in obtaining fresh specimens; Universiti Malaysia Sarawak (UNIMAS) through Malaysian Oil Palm Board (MPOB) for funding the second author's research work; and the Lee Kong Chian Natural History Museum (LKCNHM) for funding the first author's research work. Some references used were obtained from the Biodiversity Heritage Library web portal.

### Literature cited

- Abidin, M. & A. Bintoro. 2014. Hubungan panjang berat ikan lidah (*Typhlachirus caecus*) di perairan estuari Sungai Indragiri, Riau. Buletin Teknik Litkayasa, 12: 7–9.
- Atack, K. 2006. A field guide to the fishes of Kuching Rivers, Sarawak, Malaysian Borneo. Natural History Publications, Kota Kinabalu, 200 pp.
- Chabanaud, P. 1948. Morphologie et systématique des Soléidés affectés d'une atrophie plus ou moins complète de l'oeil migrateur. Zoologische Verhandelingen, 3: 1–58.

- Evseenko, S. A. & D. V. Bolshakov. 2018. On the morphology of Mekong blind sole *Typhlachirus elongatus* (Soleidae) with notes on the taxonomy of the genus *Typhlachirus*. Journal of Ichthyology, 58: 688–693 [translated from the original Russian text by Evseenko & Bolshakov (2018) in Voprosy Ikhtiologii, 58: 553–559].
- Hardenberg, J. D. F. 1931a. The fish fauna of the Rokan mouth. Treubia, 13: 81–168.
- Hardenberg, J. D. F. 1931b. Some new or rare fishes of the Indo-Australian Archipelago. Treubia, 13: 411–419.
- Hubbs, C. L. & K. F. Lagler. 1958. Fishes of the Great Lakes Region. University of Michigan Press, Ann Arbor, 213 pp.
- Károli, J. 1882. Prodromus piscium Asiae orientalis a domine Joanne Xantus annis 1868–1870 collectorum. Természetrajzi Füzetek az Állat-, Növény-, Ásványés Földtan Köréből, 5: 147–187.
- Kottelat, M. 2013. The fishes of the inland waters of Southeast Asia: a catalogue and core bibliography of the fishes known to occur in freshwaters, mangroves and estuaries. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 27: 1–663.

- Larson, H. K. & H. H. Tan. 2019. A redescription of the bearded gudgeon, *Pogoneleotris heterolepis* (Günther, 1869) (Teleostei: Gobioidei: Butidae). Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, 67: 385–390.
- Munroe, T. A. 2000. Family Soleidae (soles). Pp. 645–646 in: J. E. Randall & K. K. P. Lim, (eds.), A checklist of the fishes of the South China Sea. Raffles Bulletin of Zoology, Supplement 8: 569–667.
- Pellegrin, J. & P. Chevey. 1940. Poissons nouveaux ou rares de Cochinchine. Description de deux espèces et de deux variétés. Bulletin de la Société Zoologique de France, 65: 153–158.
- Tan, H. H. & J. Grinang. 2018. Pogoneleotris heterolepis (Teleostei: Eleotridae), a rare fish from Borneo: biological data and remarks on the type locality and type material. Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters, IEF-1082: 1-8 [also published in volume 28: 203-210].

Received 17 April 2020 Revised 11 May 2020 Accepted 3 July 2020

# Ichthyological Exploration of Freshwaters An international journal for field-orientated ichthyology

## INSTRUCTIONS TO CONTRIBUTORS

### Warning

Prospective authors should read carefully the following instructions and follow them when submitting a manuscript. Doing so significantly hastens publication and saves money and efforts. Manuscripts which do not satisfy the instructions below may be rejected at the Editor's discretion and will not be returned.

### Submission of manuscripts

The original manuscript should be sent to the Editor, Paulo H. F. Lucinda, by e-mail (lucinda@uft.edu.br). Additional information is requested:

1) the name, postal and e-mail addresses, telephone and fax numbers of the corresponding author;

 the names, postal and e-mail addresses of up to four persons outside the authors' institutions who are qualified to review the paper; and

3) a statement that the material has not been published and is not considered for publication elsewhere and that it will not be submitted elsewhere unless it is rejected or withdrawn. In submitting a manuscript, the author(s) accept(s) transfer of the copyright to the Publisher.

### Co-authors, corresponding author

Authors are those who have played a significant role in designing and conducting the research and in writing the manuscript. Individuals who have only collected data, provided material or financial support, or reviewed the manuscript should be listed in acknowledgments. Honorary authorship is not accepted.

Co-authors should designate a single corresponding author to whom correspondence and proofs will be sent. All correspondence regarding the paper should go through the corresponding author. Correspondence will not be sent to other co-authors and correspondence from other co-authors regarding the manuscript will neither be answered nor taken into consideration.

### Format

Files. The manuscript should be submitted in DOC or RTF format only. The text, captions, tables etc. must all be included in the same file. It the manuscript includes only a few illustrations, include them in low resolution in the word file. If the manuscript includes numerous illustrations they must be submitted in a separate PDF file; send all figures in low resolution and with caption in a single file. The files should be less than 8 MB.

Text. All manuscripts are subject to editorial revision before final acceptance for publication. Nothing in the manuscript should be underlined. Titles with numerical series designations are not permitted. Titles should be brief, fewer than 20 words and should indicate clearly the field of study and the group of fishes investigated. All abbreviations should be explained in the Method section (or figure caption when appropriate) or a reference to published explanations should be provided; exceptions are very common abbreviations, such as mm, km, kg, sec, min, yr, vs., SL. Footnotes are not permitted. All measurements must be in metric units. The first page should include: title of the paper, author(s), addresses and abstract, all left justified. The text should be followed by Material Examined (if appropriate), Acknowledgments (if any), Appendix (if any) and Literature Cited, in that order. Keys are desirable in taxonomic papers. They should be dichotomous and not serially indented.

Nomenclature. Names of living organisms should follow the appropriate and current International Codes of Nomenclature. Only formal names of genera and species should be written in italics. Names of authors and publication dates of scientific names should be mentioned once, in introduction or discussion, depending where most convenient, exceptionally as a table; bibliographical references must be included in the Literature cited section. Very old and classical works can be omitted if not absolutely justified.

Language. Manuscripts should be written in English. All papers must have a concise but informative abstract in English. In taxonomic papers, the abstract must include at least clear diagnosis of the new taxa. This maybe omitted for papers including the descriptions of many new taxa; consult the editor first. A second abstract, provided by the author(s), in the language of the country or area concerned by the text is acceptable. A maximum of two abstracts is permitted.

Acknowledgments. Identify individuals by first name(s) and surname. Do not list titles, position or institution. Acknowledge individuals, not positions. Idiosyncrasy and private jokes are not permitted.

Literature cited. Format for Literature Cited is that of the most recent issue. Do not abbreviate the names of journals. For books, give full name of publishing company or institution, and city. Manuscripts in preparation, abstracts, in-house reports and other literature not obtainable through normal library channels cannot be cited. In-press manuscripts can be cited only if they have been formally accepted.

Tables. Tables should be included in the text file, at the end. Use Word format and do not anchor them. Tables must be numbered sequentially with Arabic numerals; they should have concise but self-explanatory headings. Do not insert frames, vertical rules, dotted lines or footnotes. The location of first citation of each table should be clearly indicated in the text.

Figures. Detailed instructions for the preparation of digital images are here: https://pfeil-verlag.de/en/for-authors/

For the submission of new manuscript only low resolution copies are needed. Do not send large files at this stage. Case by case, if needed, we may ask you to send the original files at the time of submission.

All maps, graphs, charts, drawings and photographs are regarded as figures and are to be numbered consecutively and in the sequence of their first citation in the text. When several charts or photographs are grouped as one figure, they must be trimmed and spaced as intended for final reproduction. Each part of such a group figure should be lettered with a lower case block letter in the lower left corner. Where needed, scale should be indicated on the figure by a scale bar.

All illustrations should be designed to fit a width of 68 or 140 mm and a depth no greater than 200 mm. Lettering should be large enough to be easily seen when reduced onto a journal column (68 mm).

If a vector-graphics program is used, the original files saved by this program and all linked files must be submitted. Do not export or save the figure in a different format (for more details see the informations on https://pfeil-verlag.de/en/for-authors/

If line drawings are scanned, the resolution must be 1200 dpi or more and the format must be bitmap (1 pixel = 1 bit).

If halftones are scanned, the resolution should never be lower than 400 dpi, applied to a width of 14 cm, even for photographs designed for column width.

Photographic prints and slides and original drawings must be scanned for submission. We will ask to send the original after acceptance of the manuscript.

Digital images should be unmodified data files as originally saved by the camera or the scanner. If the data files are modified, a copy of the original, unmodified file should be submitted too.

The decision to print in colour or in black and white any figure originally submitted in colour remains with the editor and publisher. This decision will be based on scientific justification, quality of the original, layout and other editorial, financial and production constraints. By submitting colour originals, the authors know and accept that they may be published in black and white.

#### Review

Each manuscript will be sent to two reviewers for confidential evaluation. When justified, the reviewer's comments will be forwarded to the corresponding author. When submitting a revised manuscript, authors should briefly indicate the reasons for disregarding any suggestion they consider unacceptable. Remember that if a reviewer had questions or did not understand you, other readers may make the same experience and the answers should be in the manuscript and not in a letter to the editor. Changes in style, format and layout requested by the Editor are nonneoptiable and non-observance will result in rejection of the manuscript.

Revised manuscripts received more than 6 months after the reviewers' comments had been sent will not be considered or will be treated as new submissions.

### Proofs, Reprints and Page Charges

A PDF proof file will be sent to the corresponding author; it should be checked and returned to the Editor within one week. If corrections are not received within this delay, they may be done by the Editor, at the author's risks. Authors may be charged for any changes other than printer's error. Reprint orders must be forwarded with the corrections. The corresponding author is responsible for contacting the co-authors and forwarding their reprint orders.

The authors will receive a PDF file for personal use free of charge; high-resolution PDF files for unlimited use may be ordered. There will be no page charges and no charges for justified colour illustrations.