- TORSVIK, T. H., D. CARLOS, J. MOSAR, L. R. M. COCKS & T. N. MALME (2000): Global reconstructions and North Atlantic paleogeography 440 Ma to Recent. – Pp. 18–39 in: EIDE, E. A. (coord.). BATLAS: Mid Norway plate reconstructions atlas with global and Atlantic perspectives: Geological Survey of Norway.
- TRAUB, F. (1979): Weitere Paleozän-Gastropoden aus dem Helvetikum des Haunsberges nördlich von Salzburg. – Mitt. Bayer. Staatsslg. Paläont. hist. Geol., 19: 93–123; Taf. 12–18.
- TREMOLADA, F. & T. J. BRALOWER (2004): Nannofossil assemblage fluctuations during the Paleocene-Eocene Thermal Maximum at Sites 213 (Indian Ocean) and 401 (North Atlantic Ocean): palaeoceanographic implications. – Marine Micropaleontology, 52: 107–116.
- TYLER, J. C. & F. SANTINI (2005): A phylogeny of the fossil and extant zeiform-like fishes. Upper Cretaceous to Recent, with comments on the putative zeomorph clade (Acanthomorpha). – Zoologica Scripta, 34: 157–175.
- UCHIKAWA, J. & R. E. ZEEBE (2010): Examining possible effects of seawater pH decline on foraminiferal stable isotopes during the Paleocene/Eocene Thermal Maximum. – Paleoceanography, 25, PA2216, doi:10.1029/2009PA001864.
- VOIGT, Ĕ. (1926): Über ein bemerkenswertes Vorkommen neuer Fischotolithen in einem Senongeschiebe von Cöthen in

Anhalt. – Zeitschrift der Geschiebeforschung, **2**: 172– 187: Berlin.

- WEILER, W. (1942): Die Otolithen des rheinischen und nordwestdeutschen Tertiärs. – Abhandlungen des Reichsamts für Bodenforschung, Neue Folge, 206: 1–140.
- WEITZMAN, S. H. (1974): Osteology and evolutionary relationships of the Sternoptychidae, with a new classification of stomiatoid families. – Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 153: 327–478.
- WILF, P. & C. C. LABANDEIRA (1999): Response of Plant-Insect Associations to Paleocene-Eocene Warming. – Science, 284: 2153–2156.
- WING, S. L., G. J. HARRINGTON, G. J. BOWEN & P. L. KOCH (2003): Floral change during the Initial Eocene Thermal Maximum in the Powder River Basin, Wyoming. – Geological Society of America, Special Paper, 369: 425–440.
- WING, S. L., HARRINGTON, G. J., F. A. SMITH, J. I. BLOCH, D. M. BOYER & K. H. FREEMAN (2005): Transient Floral Change and Rapid Global Warming at the Paleocene-Eocene Boundary. – Science, **310**: 993–996.
- ZEEBE, R. E. & J. C. ZACHOS (2007): Reversed deep-sea carbonate ion basin gradient during Paleocene-Eocene thermal maximum. – Paleoceanography, 22, PA3201, doi:10.1029/2006PA001395.
- ZIEGLER, P. (1990): Geological Atlas of Western and Central Europe. – Shell Internationale Petroleum Maatschappij B.V.: 239 pp.

8. Addendum

Otolith nomenclature

Ernst Koken (1860-1912) was the pioneer who established scientific work based on otolith morphology and he was the first to describe otolith-based fossil species in 1884. With this, he established a nomenclatural system in which he placed all fossil otolith-based species in a collective genus Otolithus followed by the name of the genus, respectively family in genitive, respectively genitive plural, in brackets followed by the species name. His rational was that fossil otoliths as isolated remains of fishes would only rarely be assignable to a fish through finds of otoliths in situ and that Recent otoliths were poorly known so that in many instances only placement into a family would be possible for those fossil finds (KOKEN, 1884, p. 502). For instance a species that he would feel comfortable in assigning to the extant genus Gadus LINNAEUS 1758 he would describe as Otolithus (Gadi) tuberculosus KOKEN 1884, and a species he would only be able to associate with the family Gadidae as Otolithus (Gadidarum) elegans KOKEN 1884. The view, as expressed by WEILER (1968) was that it could at a later stage be replaced by a 'proper' genus name whenever adequate knowledge of Recent otoliths of the family Gadidae in this example would have become available. And indeed, GAEMERS (1972) was able to place this very species in the genus Trisopterus RAFINESQUE 1814, so that it is reported since as Trisopterus elegans (KOKEN 1884).

The general view of otolith researchers was that KO-KEN's 'invention' was a fine method to reflect exactly and adequately the pertinent level of knowledge. POSTHUMUS (1924) was the first to mention that KOKEN's nomenclature was not in compliance with the regulations of the ICZN then established and therefore the usage of the collective group name Otolithus was soon after stopped for all those cases, where an otolith-based species was assigned to a genus and it was only kept for those instances where this was not possible. WEILER (1968) stated that the familial name in genitive plural, not in italics, was only meant to represent a taxonomic indication of the author's systematic assessment without nomenclatorial meaning and hence was put between angular brackets, in this example: Otolithus [Gadidarum] elegans KOKEN 1884. Nevertheless, WEILER (1968) was of the opinion that the insertion would protect against homonymy for instance of Otolithus [Gadidarum] elegans KOKEN 1884, Otolithus [Sparidarum] elegans PROCHAZKA 1893 and Otolithus [Ophidiidarum] elegans FROST 1934, in contrary to the view of ZILCH (1965). However, this practice is not compliant with article 6.1 of the ICZN, which only allows subgeneric names in brackets between genus and species names.

Also the collective genus name Otolithus KOKEN 1884 could be confused with Otolithes OKEN 1817, a genus of the family Sciaenidae, which has been written Otolithus by CUVIER 1829 and authors. Now, while Otolithus CUVIER 1829 represents a junior objective synonym of Otolithes OKEN 1817 it also represents a senior homonym to Otolithus KOKEN 1884. Therefore, HUDDLESTON (1983) introduced a replacement collective group name Otolithopsis for all those otolith-based taxa of unknown generic and familial position, which hitherto had been placed in the 'family' incertae sedis.

The entire original nomenclatural practice of KOKEN was finally abandoned soon after WEILER (1968). GAEMERS (1971) and authors dropped the collective group name to refer to a Gadidarum *elegans* KOKEN 1884. NOLF (1977), and explained in more detail in 1985 (page 30), proposed

a collective group system in which the plural genitive names are preceded by 'genus' instead of 'Otolithus', for instance "genus Gadidarum" elegans KOKEN 1884 (to stay with the example), making reference to RICHTER (1948). RICHTER (page 146) indeed discussed the nomenclatural system presented by NOLF, but did not find it optimal, and in respect to otoliths proposed the use of the collective genus name Otolithus for all those instances, where a generic affiliation is unresolved. Nevertheless, the nomenclatural systems introduced by GAEMERS and particularly that introduced by NOLF are widely used in contemporaneous otolith research until today. GAEMERS & V. HINSBERGH (1978) stated that they were well aware that this nomenclature system "is not quite in agreement with the ICZN". They recommended to hand in a proposal with the ICZN commission to ask the next amendment of the Code "in such a way that the established practice in otolith systematics becomes legitimate", but they did not take action.

The knowledge of Recent otoliths has tremendously increased since the early days of KOKEN, but of course has not reached a status that could be described as 'nearing completion'. Still in many cases, including the mentioned family Gadidae, our knowledge of Recent otoliths is well adequate to judge, whether a specific fossil otolith-based species represents any of the extant genera of the family or, as the case may be, a fossil otolith-based genus. [Our knowledge of in situ otoliths of fossil skeleton-based genera is rudimentary at best and it is unlikely that it will ever become much better due to the different nature of the fossil preservation of otoliths as compared to skeletons.] While the increased knowledge base of Recent comparative otoliths has lead to a much more reliable recognition of the nature and composition of fossil otolith-based fish faunas, most otolith research workers will agree that there is still a need to maintain a nomenclatural system that allows describing of a fossil otolith-based species with unresolved generic assignment.

In a recent monograph dealing with mollusks from the Tertiary of Malta, JANSSEN (2012a) applied the nomenclatural system of otolith research for the first time for molluscan systematics. Shortly thereafter, it was brought to his attention that the "recently introduced species applying 'open generic nomenclature' by using the indication 'Genus Clionidarum' instead of a formal genus name are violating ICZN art. 11.9.3'". In a subsequent paper JANSSEN (2012b) stated that he followed "the format generally adopted in otolith literature for taxa that cannot be assigned to known genera, a system so far never questioned for these fossils by editorial boards and/or peer-reviewers of many prestigious periodicals". He went on validating those taxa by combining the new names with an unambiguous genus-name, i.e. the name of the type-genus of the family, followed by a question mark, indicating that those species might as

well belong to any other known or unknown genus in the particular family.

In respect to my foregoing study entitled "The otoliths from the Paleocene of Kressenberg Bavaria and Kroisbach Austria", it has become obvious that it is not advisable to continue the 'collective group' terminology currently in use in otolith research. I have therefore made use of Recent and fossil (otolith-based) genera wherever available and appropriate and used the methodology proposed by JANSSEN (2012b), wherever maintaining of an unresolved generic allocation appeared favorable. This nomenclatural system also allows for a simple transfer and safeguarding of previous species names and authorships recorded under the previous nomenclatural systems used in otolith research. The collective group name Otolithopsis HUDDLESTON 1983 is available for all species of unknown familial relationship.

- GAEMERS, P. A. M. (1971): Bonefish-otoliths from the Anversian (Middle Miocene) of Antwerp. – Leidse Geol. Meded., **46**: 237–267.
- (1972): Otoliths from the type locality of the Sands of Berg (Middle Oligocene) at Berg, Belgium. – Meded. Werkgr. Tert. Kwart. Geol., Leiden, **9**: 73–85.
- GAEMERS, P. A. M. & V. HINSBERGH, V. (1978): Rupelian (Middle Oligocene) fish otoliths from the clay pit 'De Vlijt' near Winterswijk, The Netherlands. – Scripta Geologica, Leiden, 46, 77 pp.
- JANSSEN, A. (2012a): Systematics and biostratigraphy of holoplanktonic Mollusca from the Oligo-Miocene of the Maltese Archipelago. – Boll. Mus. Regionale Sci. Natur., Torino, 28: 197–601.
- (2012b, in press): Validation of holoplanktonic molluscan taxa from the Oligo-Miocene of the Maltese Archipelago, introduced in violation with ICZN regulations. – Cainozoic Research, 9.
- KOKEN, E. (1884): Über Fisch-Otolithen, insbesondere über diejenigen der norddeutschen Oligocän-Ablagerungen. – Z. dtsch. Geol. Ges., Berlin, **36**: 500–565.
- HUDDLESTON, R. W. (1983): Otolithopsis, nom. nov., new name for Otolithus Koken, 1884, non Cuvier, 1829 (Pisces: family incertae sedis). – Journal of Paleontology, 57: 601–602.
- NOLF, D. (1977): Les otoliths des téléostéens de l'Oligo-Miocène Belge. – Ann. Soc. Roy. Zool. Belg., Brussels, 106: 3–119.
- (1985): Otolithi Piscium. Handbook of Paleoichthyology, Gustav Fischer Verlag, **10**, 145 pp.
- POSTHUMUS, O. (1924): Otolithi Piscium. Fossilium Catalogus, I: Animalia, W. Junk, Berlin, **24**, 42 pp.
- RICHTER, R. (1948): Einführung in die Zoologische Nomenklatur durch Erläuterung der Internationalen Regeln. – Verlag Dr. Waldemar Kramer, Frankfurt am Main, 252 pp.
- WEILER, W. (1968): Otolithi Piscium. Fossilium Catalogus, I: Animalia, Dr. W. Junk N. V., 's-Gravenhage, **117**, 196 pp.
- ZILCH, A. (1965): Die Typen und Typoide des Natur-Museums Senckenberg, 31: Fossile Fisch-Otolithen. – Senckenbergiana lethaea, 46a: 453–490.